

INDOT Vision – Principles to Follow

- FHWA requirements must be satisfied
 - Golden Rule
- FHWA-funded LPA projects to follow same procedures as INDOT projects
- Follow best practices
- Empower people at proper levels to make decisions
- Ensure performance through accountability standards

Prequalification

 Legal & financial prequalification required for all consultants and subconsultants

 Technical prequalification required for defined work categories



- Allowable entity type
 - Joint ventures not allowed
- Registered with Indiana Secretary of State
- In good standing with Dept of Revenue & Dept of Workforce Development
- Professional liability insurance

Legal & Financial Requirements (Cont.)

- Acceptable / auditable accounting system
- Overhead rate package
 - Cognizant agency approved; or
 - FAR Compliant and CPA Certified; or
 - Services less than \$250,000/year...
 self-certified accounting package
 - Not required for Unit price only contracting

Technical Requirements

- For pre-defined categories, such as:
 - Complex or non-complex roadway design
 - Level 1 or Level 2 bridge design
 - Environmental document preparation
- Not required for less-used services
 - Will be specified on case by case basis in advertisements

Advertisement

- RFP's scheduled in advance, 3 x per year
- INDOT districts & central office identify projects and services to be advertised and provide the following information to the contracts office:
 - Approved determination of need
 - Documentation of project programming
 - Documentation of funding availability
 - Project / services scope document

Advertisement (cont.)

 Advertisements will list category prequalifications and any additional special qualifications required

Two-week advertisement period with advance notice

Proposals / Statements of Interest

- Statements of interest and proposals submitted directly to initiating INDOT office
- Proposals for programmatic / non-complex projects to include:
 - Technical proposal
 - Key staff list
 - Any requested special qualification information

Complex/ Unique Project Selections

Two-Step Process

- Four teams selected from interest statements
 - Companies submit more extensive proposals
 - Ten-page technical approach
 - Key staff
 - Schedule
 - Scope presentation meeting with selected teams to answer questions
- 2. Proposals scored using selection evaluation form

Selection

- Three-person selection committee from initiating office independently scores all proposals
 - Using selection evaluation form
 - Each score sheet is certified with signature

Selection (cont.)

- The initiating office forwards all evaluation forms to the central office contracts administrator within 21 days
 - Including spreadsheet of scores by evaluator
 - In order, highest to lowest score
 - Including proposals for top 4 scoring firms

Selection (cont.)

- INDOT's central office selection committee reviews the top scoring firms for each item to verify:
 - No more than 20% of INDOT annual consultant budget to a firm
 - Annual services no more than 200% of consultant's previous year's total wages & Salaries
 - Verifies identified project managers are not overcommitted
 - Review to verify good faith efforts for meeting DBE goals

Selection (cont.)

 Central office selection committee approves one selected firm and 2nd & 3rd alternates for each item

 Selection determination is forwarded to the Commissioner and Posted to the Consultant Services RFP Website

Selection / Performance Evaluation Scoring Philosophy

- Selection Scoring
 - +2 Highly Qualified
 - 0 Qualified
 - -1 Slightly Below Desired Qualification
 - -3 Insufficient Qualification
- Performance Ratings
 - +1 Exceeds
 - 0 Satisfactory
 - -1 Needs Improvement
 - -3 Unsatisfactory

Selection Evaluation Form

| | Selection Rating for RFP No, Item No. | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Camaridant | Nome: Consider Description. | | | | |
| Consutlant Category | Name: Services Description: Scoring Criteria | Scale | Score | Weight | Weighte |
| Category | Scoring Criteria | Scale | Score | weight | Score |
| Disputes | Outstanding Agreement Disputes. | | | 20 | 0 |
| | No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old. | 0 | | | |
| | Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. | -3 | | | |
| Past | Historical Performance. | | | | |
| Performance | Timeliness score from performance database. | | | 15 | 0 |
| | Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. | | | 15 | 0 |
| | Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. | | | 10 | 0 |
| Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on | | | | | |
| Team to do Work | time. | | | 20 | 0 |
| | Availability of additional staff time. | 2 | | | |
| | Adequate available staff time to meet the schedule. | 0 | | | |
| | Insufficient available staff time to meet the schedule. | -3 | | | |
| Team's | Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added | | | | |
| Demonstrated | value or efficiency to the deliverable. | | | | |
| Qualifications | Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified | | | 15 | 0 |
| | for req'd services for value added benefit. | 2 | | | |
| | Expertise and resources at appropriate level. | 0 | | | |
| | Insufficient expertise and/or resources. | -3 | | | |
| Project Manage | Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, | | | 5 | 0 |
| | complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. | | | | |
| | Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. | 2 | | | |
| | Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. | 0 | | | |
| | Experience in different type or lower complexity. | -1 | | | |
| | Insufficient experience. | -3 | | | |
| | Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. | | | 5 | 0 |
| Approach to Project | Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. | | | | 0 |
| | High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. | 2 | | 10 | |
| | High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Basic understanding of the Project. | 0 | 1 | | |
| | Lack of project understanding. | -3 | | | |
| Location | Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. | | | | 0 |
| | Within 15 mi. | 1 | 1 | 5 | |
| | 16 to 50 mi. | 0 | 1 | | |
| | 51 to 150 mi. | -1 | 1 | | |
| | 151 to 500 mi. | -2 | | | |
| | Greater than 500 mi. | -3 | | | |
| | For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms. | -3 | | | |
| | 1 of 100% sale fance agreements, not rinding limbs. | | We | ighted Tota | 1 |
| For categories tha | t are not relevant to the particular agreement being evaluated leave the category score as N/ | A. This | 1 | J 2 . J. | |
| is to be as docum | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| The scores as | signed above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categorie | s. Signed | l: | | |
| | | Date | : | | |

Agreement Negotiation

 For project-specific agreements, a scoping meeting is to be held by the initiating office within 7 calendar days of selection

 A man-hour justification/fee proposal is due to INDOT within 14 calendar days of project scoping meeting

Agreement Negotiation (cont.)

- INDOT central office agreement analyst and initiating office's contract/project manager prepare fee estimate and respond to fee proposal within 14 calendar days of receipt
- Additional 14 calendar days allowed for negotiation
 - If not negotiated within this time period, the second alternate becomes the selected firm

Performance Evaluation

- Completed for all agreements
- Evaluation for each major deliverable
- Cumulative evaluation maintained for each contract
- Project evaluation scores amended by any additional information arising during construction
- Closeout evaluation meetings held at the end of each agreement

Agreements

 Majority of agreements are expected to be cost plus fixed-fee

 Fixed-fee percentages vary depending on the complexity of work and risk

 Some as-needed services agreements may use negotiated labor rate multiplier or negotiated labor rate

Agreements (cont.)

 10 percent retainage will be withheld from progress payments

 Updated and consistent agreement boilerplate

Streamlined signature process

Completion To Date

- Agreement boilerplate
 - complete
- Prequalification manual
 - 90% complete
- Audit procedure
 - 80% complete
- Consultant selection procedure
 - 80% complete
- Performance evaluation manual
 - 80% complete
- Consultant procedure manual
 - 70% complete

Work Remaining

- Completion of previously-mentioned manuals and procedures
- Website conversion
- Systems intranet interfaces and databases
- Standard agreement scope language development
- Training of contracts managers, analysts, project managers

Next Steps for You

- RFP's to be advertised on August 8th October 31st
- Prequalification will be required for October 31st RFP
 - Complete prequalification packages due by September 19th to be eligible to submit
 - Exception for CPA certified overhead audit schedule deferring due date until December 30th with submittal of self-certified schedule



Questions?

www.state.in.us/dot/business/